WELCOME & DINNER

VP Dexton Lake: Thank you for being here on time. I hope you are enjoying your Chick-Fil-A. It was our pleasure. We don’t anticipate this going too long, it all depends on the discussion we have. But hopefully you’ve had some time to get feedback from your students that you represent regarding these proposals that we have. Tonight, will be the final decision on our end as to the future and the prospects of those fees. Feel free to keep eating. Just try to be polite about it. How tonight will work is we will continue our discussion, if any, just because the discussion is open doesn’t mean there has to be discussion and let me tell you what I mean by that. We don’t want repetitive speech. That was listed in the House Rules. We are happy to hear any new points of view that may have arisen or brief messages of support, but we don’t need person after person saying I support this. That is what your vote is for. If you have a differing side that is worth bringing up. Just don’t be repetitive. That’s what we’re asking here. How we will vote tonight is a little different. In front of you are these slips. That’s why I asked you to bring a writing utensil. You won’t sign your name to them, however we do keep these and this just in case there was a question on how the vote was made. We decided it would be appropriate to vote on the fee for the library separately. Provided that its not amended. It can still be amended by this body. We decided it would be appropriate to vote for the $1.00 and the $.50 separately. CAPS will be one vote and then Health Center will be one vote because those are by definition two separate student fees. Even though they are managed by the same individual. Does that make sense? Perfect. Be prepared for that. Of course we can always amend fees, that’s fine. We felt like given that there aren’t any amendments that would be an appropriate route to go. For our visitors, my name is Dexton Lake. I’m the Executive Vice President and chair of this body. In front of us we have student leaders and students at large and university administrators who are here to give us opinions and insights and provide a vote that will be recommended to the President, and then to the Board of Trustees and then to the Regents as to student fee potential increases and adjustments here at Utah state. At this time, I am going to turn the time over to Dr. Morales to give the recommendations of the executive committee. After that I will have another couple of points to make and we will go from there. And so, I yield the floor to Dr. Morales.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

Dr. James Morales: Per Fee Board policy I presented the fee requests to the University Executive Committee for their input and the policy specifies that I would ask them to give me an unprioritized list of requests they support to the fee board. I did that and this was the feedback that they gave me. For the library fee request I presented it as the OER portion at $1.00 and the video streaming at $.50. They took a vote and they were unanimously supportive of the OER increase. For the video streaming $.50 increase it was a unanimous vote not in support of that fee. Their comments were, ‘why not use course fees for
They felt that there are alternatives to increasing the library fee for this specific purpose. They were concerned that the demand is outpacing the resources for video streaming and the administrators need to work harder at throttling that demand. That maybe it was more just demand without a real basis for those requests by faculty. It could get out of control. The committee directed two of the members of the committee, Brad who presented, and Robert Wagner, to draft a proposal to use course fees to pay for the video streaming. So that was the input from them on the library. Regarding CAPS, the interns’ salary request at $49, the majority were yes, with 1 no. There was no comment from them on that. The Health and Wellness Center psychology position funding request of $297 was a unanimous yes vote and there was no comment on that. So that is my feedback.

VP Dexton Lake: Thank you Dr. Morales. We appreciate that. We do appreciate the work of the executive committee and for their consideration of how this body decided to vote. They take it very seriously. I think I speak for all of us when I say we are deeply appreciative of them. Just a couple points before discussion, I want to remind everyone of the house rules particularly in terms of addressing people by their title. Just so that we can maintain professionalism in our minutes and perpetuate that to the students. That also gives the student administrators over that fee the right to speak first if they have anything to say. Lastly any motion that is entertained would be in favor of approving that fee. I’ll give you an example. If we reach a point where discussion has ended, and we are ready to move on it would be appropriate for anybody to say I motion to approve this fee. That’s the language that we should use. Then you vote yes or no to that. Say we were voting on the CAPS fee. If I were to say I entertain a motion to approve this fee, it would be approved and seconded, and then you would say no on your slip if you didn’t want to approve that fee. It’s pretty simple. Understand the language of the motion. If there is something that comes up as far as an amendment goes we will cover that. I think that’s important to note. You will notice the word abstain is on there. Again, I remind you an abstain counts as no vote. I would suggest not voting abstention. You are here to represent the students and it’s not really taking a stand, so I would encourage you to vote yes or no. Last time that was different because you needed to find out more information. That’s perfectly acceptable. But you’ve had adequate time to do your due diligence. You can make an adequate decision at this point. With that we will open up the library fee discussion. We will start with library, and move on to CAPS, then the health center. I will turn the floor over to Senator Ward if she has anything to add in regard to this fee. And reminder we are going to keep the speakers list.

LIBRARY FEE DISCUSSION/VOTE

Senator Naomi Ward: Last time we met there was confusion about the video streaming portion, and I talked to Robert to get some clarification. These are not short videos that professors pull up from YouTube. They are full length films and documentaries that the library is acquiring rights for the professors to show in class. I have this breakdown of requests from last year. Overall 122 films were requested in 2019. By college 3 of those were from Ag, 16 Arts, 2 Business 7 Education, 86 from CHaSS, 1 NR, 1 Science, and 1 from just the general USU classes. So there is a big difference in between which colleges are making use of this and which ones are not. And then broken by which classes actually requested movies there were 4 classes, 3 of them from CHaSS that used a lot of movies. One was intensive English language. One was a history WWII class where they watched a lot of WWII movies. One was a film class in the Arts college. The one that used the most was philosophy in pop culture. With that being said, I agree that a course fee might be more practical since we have these classes and these departments making a lot of use of these films while others are not. As far as OER I don’t feel like there were a lot of questions from last time. So I yield.
VP Dexton Lake: Reminder we will have a full discussion on library. Any other discussion that needs to be brought before this body? With that I would entertain a motion to approve the $1.00 fee. Not the $.50 initially, we will vote on that later. Just the $1.00 dollar for OER. It has been properly moved and second. Please take out your papers and write library on the top for fee and on the amount $1.00 and then your vote. Fold it in half and then we are going to pass it toward Linda, Eric Olsen and Whitney. This is for the $1.00 for OER. The $.50 will be a separate vote next.

Alright. On the matter of the $1.00, 22 are in support with 1 no. The library fee for $1.00 stands approved. On the motion for the $.50 for streaming services for the library, I will entertain a motion to approve that fee. It has been properly moved and seconded. Please write for fee library, amount $.50 and your vote.

On the matter of the library video streaming fee for $.50, it was unanimous in the no. This fee was not approved.

CAPS FEE DISCUSSION/VOTE

VP Dexton Lake: On the matter of CAPS for $0.49 for the interns’ salary I would entertain a motion to approve this fee. Thank you it has been properly moved and second.

VP Braden Tomlinson: Are we supposed to open it up for discussion?

VP Dexton Lake: Excuse me, you’re right. Is there anything you need to add Senator Brost in terms of this?

Senator Sakia Brost: I do not.

VP Dexton Lake: Any other discussion?

Senator Naomi Ward: In our last meeting we talked a lot about this and acknowledged there was a need for funding for CAPS. I did talk to someone on fee board last year and they talked about approving the $10.00. And I just am frustrated again. I am in support of it, but I am frustrated that the state and the university both chose not to fund this and went to the students to fund it again, especially after last year and the letter USUSA sent to USU administrators following the vote last year. I am frustrated about that and I am wondering if this is the right place to talk about it, but can we draft a similar letter to last year urging administration to walk the walk for lack of a better term. That’s just what I wanted to throw out there.

VP Dexton Lake: If I may, as a point of information on that, as part of my responsibility as Chairman to draft a letter to the president, and President Ahmed will sign it was well, and sending the recommendation of this fee board. And it was my intention to include something to that effect with that as this is the second time and second year that CAPS has asked for an increase.

Dr. James Morales: When I presented to the executive committee, in our discussion as I was writing your comments to share with them, I did capture a comment one of you made to that effect. You wanted the legislature and university to step in and help more. Just like students have been consecutively helping out. That comment was shared with them.

VP Paulina Rivera-Soto: Point of information as well. The state legislature is about to start their session and in their budget they are looking to increase the amount they put toward mental health. So I think definitely our university needs to follow suit as well.
VP Dexton Lake: Please say your name before you make a comment for the sake of minutes. That was my bad because I haven’t been doing it either. Is there any other discussion on the CAPS fee? Alright on your paper because it has been moved and seconded, please write CAPS at the top, $.49 and your vote.

In the matter of the CAPS fee for $0.49, 22 approved with 1 no and no abstentions. This stands approved.

HEALTH FEE DISCUSSION/VOTE

VP Dexton Lake: On the matter of the health center fee we will open this up for discussion. If Senator Fry has anything to add you may start.

Senator Jared Fry: The only thing I would like to add is that Senator Karras and I over the last two weeks have been making calls to various therapy providers in Cache Valley and Salt Lake and also the University of Utah to get a feel for their wait times and see if we are meeting the market and industry standards. For most private providers in Cache Valley and Salt Lake the average wait time is 5-8 weeks, for the University of Utah it tended to be 3-5 weeks. So right now with it being two weeks, if we continue to improve it that could show that we are paying more than others. I do think this is important and that it should pass but an important consideration that we should make is it the university standard to provide services that exceed what the market and other universities are doing. I don’t think that’s very sustainable.

Senator Naomi Ward: I think that’s fair, but I think also though that the goal is not necessarily. This is not so much a sign that we are doing better than we need to be doing but that these other organizations need to be doing better. Ultimately the goal is for mental health to be treated the same way as a physical illness, right? So, if you went to the Instacare or the hospital for a physical illness they wouldn’t tell you to wait a month. So I think that should be our goal other than assign that we’re already doing as well as we need to be doing. I wanted to point out something that was brought up last year about the National Association counseling accreditation as far as compliance standards for that we are not meeting that so that is something to consider. Given the size of our university we should be employing at least 18 full time counselors and we are not meeting that.

Senator Cooper Karras; In addition to some of the comments already being made, in the past couple weeks talking with engineering students, common frustrations with CAPS is these wait time, so I think helping students understand that we are doing what we can to address an immediate concern is important. Students don’t understand that we are trying to improve these services, and this is a good effort to make students see that we care. We want to give them better access to care than even if they were out in the general public. Utah State is trying to make these motions for them. Because it is an immediate need and such a large amount of students accessing it, a student brought to me the idea of potentially adding a copayment. I am not familiar with how student fees are accessed with the potential idea of adding a copayment. In the College of Engineering we did a banquet that was historically free for engineering students to attend, but now a lot of students would reserve a place and not attend, but when added a $1.00 ticket, we virtually eliminated the problem. Those who signed up and paid the $1.00 came. So maybe it is a discussion to have with the Student Health and Wellness office and also CAPS for potentially adding a copayment. There have been several psychological experiments done that once you put forth more commitment versus a free service people tend to get more out of it. It’s another idea if they need more funding, even adding a small copayment of as much as $1.00 or $5.00, if they’re seeing up to 5,000 appointments a semester this could help with some of their funding. Of course, if a student’s financial situation is taken into consideration then of course that fee could be waived because we are not
trying to operate at a profit, but the purpose of that copayment was to initiate a way to help students who need the service the most.

VP Braden Tomlinson: I am not sure the numbers behind insurance and private providers versus the school, but I would say that if I had to go to a private provider I don’t know how much they would charge me versus having to pay a two dollar and something fee to go to provider on campus for free that would be a much better situation for me as a student. It’s probably closer to where I live and more familiar.

Senator Sara Dent: I’m just agreeing with what you’re saying. The counselor I see costs $100.00 a visit and 4 times a month over a year that is a lot of money for students to take on. I just haven’t been seeing consistency with the university’s Wellness Center so I haven’t gone there. I haven’t been able to see them frequently enough to make any progress, so all the counselors that I’ve looked at in this area charge around that price for a session. And usually students with these needs need it at least 2 times a month to make progress. This is a good benefit for students to get the care they need, especially if they are not on their parents’ insurance and if they are in a poor economical situation. With that I yield.

Mr. Erik Fogth: I talked and went to see one of the interns who work at the CAPS office and get her idea with both CAPS and the Health and Wellness Center. She was saying that over at where she went to college in Purdue they had 48 on staff over there and were still having a back up line of people for 5-6 weeks. We are doing as good as we are because they are implementing new systems like group therapy and other sessions. It is great for our situation, but she said the most optimal thing is to have one on one sessions with people but because of the capacity they are at they are trying to meet as many and do as much as they can with the situation they are in. With more resources they could have more effective forms of therapy and health for students at the university.

VP Dexton Lake: Any other discussion? I will entertain a motion to approve the health fee. It has been properly moved and second. Please write health center, amount $2.97 and your vote.

In the matter of the health fee of $2.97 it was unanimously approved.

STUDENT SPOUSE CARD DISCUSSION/VOTE

VP Dexton Lake: We will now turn the time over to Dr. Morales for the spouse card. We will not put this up to discussion about what we feel like we could do. How much we could raise it. That is construction for someone else. Because we are not over the spouse card we are not going to say how much we think we should raise it. What we will do is Dr. Morales will read the answers that he has to the questions we asked last time. He wasn’t able to obtain all of them for various understandable reasons. And we will do a straw poll as to whether or not you feel the spouse card should be increased. And Student Affairs can do what they want. It is our opinion of what we feel like should be the case. If you have any further questions that Dr. Morales could address we will let you ask those. But as far as, “we should increase it this much” we won’t do that. For sake just say whether you are in support of increasing it or keeping it the same. We won’t decrease it.

Director Cameron Olds: Point of clarification what department is over the spouse card?

VP Dexton Lake: Student Affairs. It is the tradition of Student Affairs to consult with students in a matter like this. We are just giving our opinion of whether or not we think it should be increased or not. That doesn’t necessarily mean it will or won’t.
Dr. James Morales: Thank you Chair Lake. So when we discussed this last time at our meeting I appreciate the time you gave me last time to seek your input. I shared with you some information and you responded with some questions. I will review and share what answers I have at this point. And then the rest of the questions are in the process of being answered. I have the card office collecting data so I can provide you the rest of the answers. The very first question was where and when are they being used. I don’t’ have that answer yet. The card office is working on that information. I have asked them for a 3-5-year time frame of what they can get that would be most useful to us. Question 2 where does the revenue go? Its $40 per card and last year we had 183 cards for a total $7323. They didn’t give me specific numbers, but they gave me percentages for each of the areas that a spouse can access. So, this is the percentage of that $40 fee that goes to five areas. Athletics receive 35.6% of the $40. Right behind is Campus Recreation which receives 21.8%, the next is the called the marginal balance. I’m not sure I know what that is. Whitney, do you know? They receive 20% of that $40.00 fee.

Mr. Whitney Pugh: I’m not sure. I can’t say for sure what that means off the top of my head.

Dr. James Morales: We will look into that further. I didn’t have the card office person with me at the time. She just gave me this report. The next area is the fieldhouse R&R repair & replacement, so they receive 13.7% of the $40 fee. And finally, music and theatre receives 8.7% of the $40. That is the distribution. Those are the areas that a spouse can access. Just as a point of information they can pay an extra $45 for accessing the Student Health and Wellness Center. That’s not included in the $40 spouse card price. Question 3 would students have a say in how the money is being used? I don’t see why not, but we will need to entertain a discussion in the future in terms of would you like to see it distributed differently. I don’t know where these percentages came from originally. Is it based on the size of the fee, itself? Are those percentages derived from the size of the fee receiving area? Is it based on usage? I don’t know. Has it been established over some historical period? The 3-5 year usage information might give us some idea about who is allowing most spouses to use their card. So we could redistribute or rearrange the distribution. Question 4 how is the spouse card being advertised? Followed by how do the students become aware of this opportunity? VP Tomlinson shared that 12 spouses came to him last semester to inquire about the card. The answer is two-part. One, the card office and registrar office do not market the spouse card. Other than their website they don’t actively promote it in any significant way. Item 2 related to this, is HR, one of the employees as part of the new employee orientation seminar does briefly bring it up. Aside from that that is the extent of the awareness and promotion. The last 3 I don’t not have the answers to. Number 5 how much has use of these areas or services increased since the inception of a spouse card? I’m assuming that references those areas receiving spouse card revenue. So, by virtue of having spouse cards available does that increase? I’m assuming that was the question. I don’t have the answer but we will look into it. Number 6, lots of spouses use the spouse card. It saves them a lot of money. Yeah I think that’s true. Number 7 what are the attendance rates of spouses at various events? Again, tied to number one and whether those areas have increased we will provide that to you later. And then a related question, will we lose attendance if the spouse card is increased too much? I don’t know how to calculate that at this point. As soon as the card office gets back to me I will share that with Chair Lake and we will get it to the rest of the board. Any questions about any of this information?

VP Braden Tomlinson: I can’t remember if you answered this last meeting when we talked about the difference. Who pays the difference between what the spouse would pay and what the event actually costs?

Dr. James Morales: I didn’t have the distribution of where the money was going and even yet I don’t have
a dollar amount to say ok spouse card $40 35.63% represents however much money that is. Clearly Athletics gets $138 per student at a full-time student rate, so 35% of $40 is a lot less than that total amount. Just from that vantage point Athletics isn’t getting that additional revenue. The question is whether what their fixed costs are and who is carrying that. It seems to me that the rest of you are subsidizing that cost.

VP Braden Tomlinson: it wouldn’t be a sunk cost? Like a lost cost?

Dr. James Morales: How would we calculate that Whitney?

Mr. Whitney Pugh: It’s just a discounted price. They just build up their whole budget on the expense side assuming that you know $40 from a certain number of people. That’s just they’re not lowering other things or increasing charges to other areas necessarily. They’re just saying we get more dollars from this source and we will build our program based on that assumption every year. It’s a discounted rate. I don’t know what the best term is for it. Everything you said could be used, but to them it’s a discount rate is all they consider it.

Dr. James Morales: President Ahmed says they receive $14.00 of the $40.00 amount.

Senator Cooper Karras: I would like to know who uses these cards. For example, my college has a fair amount of married students, and it would be interesting to see what groups of students are using the spouse cards. Obviously married students but where on campus?

Dr. James Morales: Sure, I think I can get a breakdown. I’m speaking for the card office, but I’m sure they can provide that for me. By college, in state, out of state. There are probably a lot of ways we can break it down.

Senator Rachel Chamberlain: I had a comment. A lot of the feedback I got was focused on it being a spouse card and if there was an option instead to do a partner card where you didn’t have to be married and you could still use it for someone else. Like a friend who’s not going to the university. Married people already get benefits like tax breaks and things like that. Whereas a single person could be seen as having similar financial hardships. That might be something for consideration.

Senator Naomi Ward: A question that I want to add to the list is if the revenue is enough to offset the operational costs of the organizations. If they’re not being used very much and if the cost is covered then I don’t see a reason to increase it.

Dr. James Morales: When we get the breakdown of how many spouse card holders are going to athletic events we can calculate I suppose we can calculate the price of a ticket or somehow figure out what the true cost of it is and determine whether. I’m trying to figure out where we would end up. So clarify that for me just a little bit more.

Senator Naomi Ward: So, they are paying $40 for these services. I assume they are not being used for every game or the gym every day. Not being used to their full potential, hence the discount. Once we have the number for where and how often they’re being used, that would allow us to look at the revenue and see if the cost is offset by the revenue, and if it is, I don’t see a reason to increase the cost, but if we are actively losing money then I think increasing the cost would be beneficial. But I would like to have that information first.
Dr. Eric Olsen: There are two ways to look at it. We can either look at it as lost revenue or additional revenue. It’s been such a small number of people to begin with that these entities have not necessarily counted on that revenue in their budgets. So, if it were to, you go to a basketball game and there are empty seats that’s lost revenue. But if all the seats are filled would they decrease ticket prices? I doubt it. I don’t think it’s accurate to look at it as lost revenue that you’re subsidizing. It’s just additional revenue and the operations are doing their thing with primarily the majority of the student fees that are paid by full-time students. If that makes sense.

Mr. Whitney Pugh: I agree with Eric. It’s not a financial decision. The amount is immaterial. Athletics gets 40% of $7,000. I mean out of 30 million, it’s not really a benefit. And on your comment about single or married, it’s a benefit somebody thought would be nice to officer to a group of students. It’s the equity question. It’s not a financial decision really. It’s more of a greater good. What is the greater good here that might be helped or addressed by this.

Senator Sara Dent: So, my mother-in-law was a part of student government when she was here and she was one of the people that instituted this spouse card. She was telling me that they wanted to involve the community more and that USU wasn’t just a student affair, but a community affair and being able to bring in families, especially married couples, to USU was benefitting the city as a whole and not just our students. I just wanted to give a little background on why she explained it was instituted in the first place.

President Sami Ahmed: I would like to motion to exhaust speakers list.

VP Dexton Lake: All in favor say aiy. Any opposed? Abstentions? The speakers list is exhausted. With the two remaining that we have we turn the time over to Senator Bird.

Senator Tiffanee Bird: The only part I thought was interesting out of this is if you look at the breakdown in your binder it talks about the breakdown and the access the spouse has. And they have access to the bus shuttle system and the computer lab, but none of the breakdown goes to bus or computer labs. It’s broken down but not to either of those. That was interesting. Why?

Dr. James Morales: That’s a great point. I don’t have an answer for that.

VP Paulina Rivera: I still would like to know more about the breakdown of where the money is coming from like we’ve talked about. But I also think it’s important to bring up that yes, we are trying to be more inclusive but at the end of the day it’s our students that are paying for these services. They are the backbone of this and are the ones keeping it. Even if it is just $5,000, $7,000 it’s still money that our students are paying so I wanted to reiterate that.

VP Dexton Lake: Since the list is exhausted and since this is a straw poll that we have no jurisdiction over I am going to call for a vote. It will be general. It’s not up to us to decide how to increase it. But again, they will get student feedback. Once Dr. Morales has those questions he will send it to me and I will divvy it out.

All in favor of increasing the amount please raise your hand and keep it up. 14 in favor. All in favor of keeping it the same. 7 in favor of keeping it the same.

Linda Zimmerman: You are missing one vote.

Senator Alex Lyons: I abstained. I want more information before I make an opinion.
VP Dexton Lake: That’s fine. It leaned toward increasing the cost. This could take a while so this may go beyond next year’s officers. Thank you for your input. And now for our last item on the agenda.

FEE BOARD POLICY CHANGE DISCUSSION/VOTE

VP Dexton Lake: If you have your fee board policy with you feel free to open it up. There are a few things that we noticed that need some changes. Very minute things. For your information statewide campuses adopted a student fee policy and it’s not the exact same as Logan campus but we do want to make some changes to reflect their policy and to somewhat keep in mind the extent that we could. Nothing big. So at the very top the title needs some adjustment because there are two fee board policies now. Instead of saying Utah State University fee board policy it would say Utah State University Logan campus student fee board policy. That would be the change there. And if you look under purpose under letter b it says budget information regarding the disposition of student fees should be easily available for public review. What statewide campuses wrote and what we think makes clearer sense is information regarding the purpose and use of student fees should be easily available for public review. So we would change disposition to purpose and use of student fees. And the last thing, if you look beneath the structure down to the bottom where it says Vice President for Student Services, that is allegedly Dr. Morales, but he is Vice President for Student Affairs and so we want to change that to accurately reflect him. Any question regarding that? I will remind you that we did make a change the last time regarding the sentence that we removed that has already been approved. I will add one of my brainchildren during my time as a senator and a vice president was to increase the transparency of student fees and as this board is concluding their meetings, the reflection of the increases in student fees will be reflected on the Student Affairs page and on the My USU page that myself and Dr. Morales created last year. Those are easily available to students and we encourage you to refer your students there. And more transparency is forthcoming with other initiatives that are going on. So with that. Be there no questions, I would entertain a motion to approve these changes.

Dr. James Morales: I just have a point of clarification, there are a couple other places in the policy where Student Services should be rephrased to Student Affairs. Associate Vice President for Student Services should be Student Affairs. On the next page under authority there are two references for Vice President for Student Services under that paragraph. Those two should be changed as well.

VP Dexton Lake: Okay those five changes then. I will entertain a motion to make these changes in student fee board policy. It has been properly moved and seconded. All in favor. Say aiy. Any opposed? Any abstentions? These changes stand approved. Are there any other discussion items that need to be brought before this body? That being said, I appreciate the time and effort you put in to make this happen. This is our final fee board meeting for this year, and I appreciate your hard work. It certainly doesn’t go unnoticed. With that being said I will entertain a motion to end the meeting. It has been moved and seconded. All in favor say aiy. Any opposed? Any abstentions? This meeting is adjourned.
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